1 2 3		NING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT 268B MAMMOTH ROAD LONDONDERRY, NH 03053
4 5	DATE:	NOVEMBER 18, 2009
6 7 8	CASE NO.:	11/18/2009-3
9 10 11	APPLICANT:	JENNIFER MORIN 528 MAMMOTH ROAD LONDONDERRY, NH 03053
12 13 14	LOCATION:	532 MAMMOTH ROAD, 15-222, AR-I
15 16 17 18	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:	YVES STEGER, ACTING CHAIR JIM SMITH, VOTING MEMBER MICHAEL GALLAGHER, VOTING ALTERNATE LARRY O'SULLIVAN, CLERK
20 21 22	ALSO PRESENT:	RICHARD CANUEL, SENIOR BUILDING INSPECTOR/ ZONING OFFICER
23 24 25 26 27	REQUEST:	AREA VARIANCE TO ALLOW AN EXISTING SINGLE-FAMILY DWELLING TO BE CONVERTED TO A TWO-FAMILY DWELLING (DUPLEX) WITH LESS THAN THE REQUIRED FRONTAGE AND LOT SIZE ALLOWED BY SECTION 2.3.1.3.1.1.
28 29 30	PRESENTATION: CASE NO. 12 PREVIOUS CASES LISTED.	1/18/2009-3 WAS READ INTO THE RECORD WITH TWO
31 32 33 34	YVES STEGER: Who would be p have your option either to contin	resenting? As you know, we are only four (4) today, so you ue or to try your case today.
35 36	JENNIFER MORIN: Okay.	
37 38	ERIC MORIN: We'll try our case	
39 40	YVES STEGER: Go ahead.	
41 42	ERIC MORIN: Hi, I'm Eric Morin	n, 528 Mammoth Road.
43	JENNIFER MORIN: And I'm Jen	nifer Morin, 528 Mammoth Road.

YVES STEGER: So if you could just explain the case in general and then go through the points of law, please. ERIC MORIN: Yes, we're trying to add another little apartment in the basement for our in-laws, so that's what we're...it's a basic little...this used to be a business called T-Rex on Mammoth Road. I don't know if you folks are familiar with it. JENNIFER MORIN: [inaudible] ERIC MORIN: We kind of blew up this picture here. We would have had copies for everybody but our ink cartridge kind of died on us while we were printing it out. [see Exhibits "A" through "D"] JENNIFER MORIN: But that's the property there. And that's how...you can kind of see the basement part. ERIC MORIN: It's right across from the Senior Center. I don't know if you folks are familiar with it. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Would you mind circulating...? JENNIFER MORIN: Absolutely. ERIC MORIN: I have a couple copies. Our ink cartridge died on us tonight. [overlapping, indistinct comments] LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Yves. YVES STEGER: Mm-hmm. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: This is page two (2) and that's not on the computer. YVES STEGER: Oh. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: So she's gonna have to read through it. I figured you should have it... YVES STEGER: Okay. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: ...we can get it back. YVES STEGER: Well, they're probably gonna read it and then I will supplement...

89 LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Or do you want me to follow it to see if there's any variation from what they say?

91

92 YVES STEGER: That's okay. Please.

93 94

JENNIFER MORIN: Okay.

95 96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

ERIC MORIN: This property, it's been used as a business all along, so it's not something that we thought would be a very big change in the neighborhood, so, we thought it'd be something that would work out pretty good in the neighborhood and it's something that would actually spruce up the neighborhood and bring it back, bring the old Mammoth Road section there, the village section, back to where we thought it would be more to what it should be. 'Cause we own the house two (2) houses down from it and we thought it would be a great place for our, well, her parents, my in-laws to live where her father's disabled and it'd be a great place for him to live too, so I thought it'd be a really great place and where he's disabled, it would be the level floor, the basement there, he could get right in, no stairs, and I could make it all handicap accessible for him. I got some pictures there. I don't know if you saw it, but...

105106

YVES STEGER: Yes.

107108

109 ERIC MORIN: So it would be great for him. And they can't afford much, so that'd be a great thing for him.

111

112 YVES STEGER: Okay.

113

114 LARRY O'SULLIVAN: So you don't live in the home.

115

116 ERIC MORIN: No, I don't live in this home.

117

118 LARRY O'SULLIVAN: So you rent out upstairs?

119

- 120 ERIC MORIN: Yup, we're gonna be renting out the upstairs. We're renovating right now.
- Richard's actually been in a couple times to inspect it, the work we're doing right now,
- so...We're doing a ton of work to it, so...

123

124 JENNIFER MORIN: That's why in the pictures it's not sided yet.

125

126 ERIC MORIN: Yeah.

127

128 LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Well, you see, the difference between an in-law apartment and a two-129 family...

- 131 ERIC MORIN: Exactly. That's why we can't do the in-law, 'cause we're not living in it. That's
- why we have to do the two-family. And we can't do it in our home because of the stairs and

everything. He can't manage the stairs, so, he'd have to do the up and down the stairs and he can't do that anymore, so, that's why he's got the handicap plate and all that fun stuff, so...

135

136 YVES STEGER: Okay. If you could go through the points of law, please.

137

138 ERIC MORIN: Let's see.

139

YVES STEGER: And if you could actually read in detail all those that were on the second page but didn't make it into our own file.

142

143 ERIC MORIN: Oh, okay.

144

145 LARRY O'SULLIVAN: There was a computer issue regarding getting the second page...

146

147 JENNIFER MORIN: We know how that feels, so...

148

ERIC MORIN: I know. We had the same problem with that today. An ink cartridge didn't want to cooperate.

151

152 JENNIFER MORIN: Does that start with (C)?

153

154 ERIC MORIN: So we start with number four (4)?

155

YVES STEGER: You start with (A), "The proposed use will not..." Yes, correct. That's (4.A), yes, (A).

158

- ERIC MORIN: Oh, okay, that one? The proposed use would not diminish the surrounding property values because many of the surrounding houses on the street are already multi-family dwellings, one (1) being diagonally across the street, another is two (2) houses down, across the street. The building has been unoccupied and not properly maintained for the last three (3) years, so we can only increase the surrounding property values. Granting the variance would
- not be contrary to the public interest because this was commercially used property. All business attempts made at this property have been unsuccessful and each time the property has fallen
- into neglect which would be unlikely to happen since the owners live only two (2) houses
- down. And abutting neighbors directly on either side of this property agree that having two (2)
- small residential apartments next door to them would be more appealing and would keep the
- village feeling more than having a commercially used property with cars pulling in and out all
- 170 day. Want to do (C)?

- 172 JENNIFER MORIN: Sure. Special conditions exist where the literal enforcement of the
- 173 ordinance results in unnecessary hardship. One (1), an area variance is needed to enable the
- applicant's proposed use of the property, given the following special conditions of the property.
- 175 The property has a hundred (100) feet of road frontage where a hundred (100) [sic] feet is
- necessary. The property has just over half (1/2) an acre when one (1) acre is required for multi-
- 177 family. The driveway on this property is already more than sufficient to support the needs of

this home. Two (2), the benefit sought by the applicant cannot be achieved by some other method reasonably feasible for the applicant to pursue other than an area variance because due to my disabled father's health care needs, proximity is becoming a necessity and I will be assisting more in his care. We are unable to add onto our current property due to the stairs and the egress issues and have been unsuccessful at locating an affordable apartment for them due to their limited income and health needs. And they wouldn't be able to afford the upstairs apartment but could easily afford the low rent we could offer them in the basement apartment. Their health care needs and limited income have made it impossible for them to keep the current home and need to find alternate living close to us to help provide care as I'm a licensed nursing assistant. Granting the variance would do substantial justice because not only would this provide an immediate need for a low income apartment but this would open an opportunity in the future for affordable living for someone working locally or someone who is retired, on a fixed income and may want to have the benefit of being close to the Senior Center. By adding a one bedroom apartment, this would not create an impact on the school system. We are turning this non-conforming business property that was unoccupied and in disrepair into an energy efficient home that now blends into the community rather than sticks out like an eyesore. (E), the use is not contrary to the spirit of the ordinance because adding a one bedroom apartment would not decrease the value of the homes in the neighborhood or visually change anything in a negative manner. This neighborhood would remain unchanged because it has more than six multi-family units within less than a third (1/3) of a mile from this property, including one directly across the street. By adding the basement apartment, the outside appearance of the home would be unnoticeable. We would only be further improving the property as well as maintaining the surrounding property values.

201202 YVES STE

YVES STEGER: Thank you.

203204

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

JIM SMITH: What would be the total number of bedrooms?

205206

JENNIFER MORIN: In the basement just one (1) and upstairs two (2).

207208

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Do you have Town sewer or Town water?

209210

ERIC MORIN: Yes.

211

212 [ENNIFER MORIN: Yes.

213214

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Both?

215216

JENNIFER MORIN: Yes.

217218

ERIC MORIN: Yup.

219

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: One of the reasons that you need to have, what is it, a hundred and fifty (150) feet...

ERIC MORIN: Yeah, it's a hundred and fifty (150). LARRY O'SULLIVAN: ...instead of a hundred? That was something that you misread, too, was that you need a hundred and fifty (150), you don't need a hundred (100). ERIC MORIN: Yes. JENNIFER MORIN: Yes, I'm sorry. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: It was correct in the application and what was submitted, we just don't have that hard copy available tonight. JENNIFER MORIN: Okay. Yes. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Okay, so, the difference between your request for a two-family and a two-family across the street is they meet the requirements... JENNIFER MORIN: Mm-hmm. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Alright? They have a hundred and fifty (150) feet of road frontage and so forth, if that's what I'm looking at here. We have an overhead map... ERIC MORIN: Yup. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: ... of all the lots that are around, so, you have a very thin, long lot. ERIC MORIN: Yes. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: One of the concerns that we would have is if you have two families living there, that means twice as many cars typically. ERIC MORIN: Yes. JENNIFER MORIN: Yes. Mm-hmm. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Alright? So the driveway and how you address the driveway is going to be important. JENNIFER MORIN: The driveway's already existing. If you see the picture, there's a very large driveway that's... ERIC MORIN: The parking is not an issue on this lot... JENNIFER MORIN: There's a driveway that goes up...

268 ERIC MORIN: And we've spoken to John [Trottier] about the driveway and that's not an issue 269 on this property. I don't know if you've noticed the picture there. We have a ton of parking. 270 And we spoke to John about creating it so it would be only one (1) small entrance going into 271 that driveway. Shrinking the entrance. 'Cause right now it's like a hundred (100) foot entrance 272 into the driveway.

273

274 LARRY O'SULLIVAN: And any of the additions or additional work that you perform will be 275 inside the confines of the home?

276

277 ERIC MORIN: Yes.

278 279

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: There's noting exterior going to be built?

280

281 ERIC MORIN: No.

282

283 LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Do you need ramps or what have you in order to...?

284

285 ERIC MORIN: Nope.

286

287 JENNIFER MORIN: No, because there will be no stairs. He'll be walking right into the

288 basement.

289 290

ERIC MORIN: No, no wheelchairs. He can still walk. It's just he has a hard time walking.

291

- 292 LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Okay. One of the differences between what normally, when we have an in-law apartment, I mentioned before, this really can't be rated as an in-law apartment, so, one 293 294 of the things that you have requested, though, what this is a variance. A variance lasts as long 295
 - as the property stays in that use or is it more than a year is it, unused, as a two-family or what have you, would revert back to the prior...as if you didn't have a variance.
- 296

297 298

ERIC MORIN: Mm-hmm.

299

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Okay. So, all the other variances for this property, the other variances 300 301 are now gone by the wayside, right?

302

303 RICHARD CANUEL: Right.

304

305 LARRY O'SULLIVAN: So they don't really exist on this land anymore?

306

307 RICHARD CANUEL: That's right.

308

309 YVES STEGER: I'm sorry?

310

311 LARRY O'SULLIVAN: The other variances that were on this property no longer exist. They're in the record, they were granted variances, but they couldn't put another business back there. The business use has been discontinued for more than a year. YVES STEGER: Oh, okay. JENNIFER MORIN: That's fine, we don't want another business there. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Yeah. That's a good thing. JENNIFER MORIN: Yeah, it's a very good thing. YVES STEGER: Yes. Yes. ERIC MORIN: Yeah, 'cause we live two (2) houses down from it, so... YVES STEGER: But that's not [inaudible] this application. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: But I'm just saying that... ERIC MORIN: Yeah, we don't want the business thing there anymore anyway. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: ...there's the public interest involved here, too. ERIC MORIN: That's good for us. JENNIFER MORIN: Our neighbors were actually happy that there was no longer gonna be a business on that property. ERIC MORIN: Yeah. YVES STEGER: Question? JIM SMITH: [inaudible]. So the two main issues are the frontage, which is fifty (50) short and we got approximately half (1/2) of what the required land area would be. ERIC MORIN: Yup. YVES STEGER: Now, I have a question for Richard. I think that the ordinance essentially was made mostly for sewerage, correct? RICHARD CANUEL: Right. YVES STEGER: In terms of number of bedrooms and the size and that's why... RICHARD CANUEL: The size of the lot, that's right.

- 400 YVES STEGER: Okay. Alright. Anybody in the public who has questions or would like to speak in favor or the application? Anybody opposed? Thank you. We'll come back to the 401 Board for additional questions. Did you read the text...? 402 403 404 LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Yeah... 405 406 YVES STEGER: Okay. 407 408 LARRY O'SULLIVAN: ...and I made the note where there was only one significant issue with 409 the hundred and fifty (150) feet. 410 411 YVES STEGER: Okay. 412 413 JIM SMITH: And the lot size. 414 415 LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Right, that's what was required as opposed to a hundred (100). 416 417 YVES STEGER: Yes. 418 419 LARRY O'SULLIVAN: I mentioned that to her, that... 420 421 JIM SMITH: Yeah. 422 423 LARRY O'SULLIVAN: ...she misread it. 424 425 YVES STEGER: Any more questions? 426 427 LARRY O'SULLIVAN: No, sir. 428 429 YVES STEGER: No? No, okay. Thank you. This is the end of the public questions. We'll take 430 it under advisement and we are going to enter the deliberations. 431 432 **DELIBERATIONS**: 433 434 LARRY O'SULLIVAN: So, Richard, did they get any special requirements to do this, electrical 435 lines, anything special that's necessary for the house, if it's granted, as a two-family versus an 436 in-law apartment? Do they have to put a separate meter outside and all the rest of that stuff? 437 438 RICHARD CANUEL: Yes. Yeah, I mean, it's two (2) separate dwelling units at that point, 439 yeah.
- 440 441 JENNIFER MORIN: Mm-hmm,

442

443 RICHARD CANUEL: I mean, those are building code and electrical code issues to deal with at that point.

145	
146.	LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Okay, so this work hasn't been accomplished yet.
147	
148 149	RICHARD CANUEL: No, it's under construction presently.
150	LARRY O'SULLIVAN: It shows it. The pictures show it and it's definitely, you know, despite
451	the fact that there's no siding, it was already an improvement, so those are good things.
152	and that that the standy it was already an improvement, so those are good things.
453	YVES STEGER: Okay.
154	_ · _ · _ · _ · _ ·
455	LARRY O'SULLIVAN: No other questions
4 56	·
1 57	JIM SMITH: Well, I think what they're saying is the construction is the improvement of the
45 8	main floor. You haven't started work in the basement, right?
459	
460	JENNIFER MORIN: No.
461	
462	ERIC MORIN: No.
463	
464	LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Mm-hmm.
465	
466	YVES STEGER: Okay. So shall we go through the points of law?
467 469	TARRY OICHT LIVANT, Man
468 469	LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Yes.
470	YVES STEGER: Okay.
471	IVESSIEGER. ORdy.
472	JIM SMITH: Since you've got the written one.
473	Jan Division of the Witten one.
474	YVES STEGER: The property value, I think, we should agree that it's not gonna be changed.
475	Probably an improvement.
476	•
477	JIM SMITH: Especially where the building's been unoccupied.
478	
479	YVES STEGER: Yup. Not contrary to the public interest. That's probably okay, too. Special
480	conditions of the property. That's the one that
481	
482	LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Right, she was a little weak on because she said that the property has a
483	hundred (100) feet where a hundred fifty (150) is required. Well that's exactly why we're here.
484	That's one of the big reasons that we're here.
485 486	WIECCTECED. Exactly Co thatle not well as a I was
486 407	YVES STEGER: Exactly. So that's not really a good reason.
487	

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: So, what I think the...since I know their part of the world, I think we all know that part of the world. They're all small lots, they're typically smaller homes. I think the

488

- 490 issue really is that it is in the old part of Londonderry, the village, and they're just almost, by
- 491 itself, would allow this type of a thing, you know, forty (40) some odd years ago from 1970. I
- 492 suspect the house is from prior to that, so...

493

- 494 MICHAEL GALLAGHER: Also, any offset there, I mean, the parking, there is plenty from
- 495 what I saw of parking. The only thing, right on the...is there enough from the Town, what the
- 496 Town owns, into the...you know, the front area of the parking?

497

- 498 RICHARD CANUEL: That was one of my concerns when I looked at this, because being a two-
- 499 family dwelling, they need to provide two (2) parking spaces per living unit...

500 501

MICHAEL GALLAGHER: Right.

502 503

- RICHARD CANUEL: ...which, as we know by our parking regulations, they have to be on
- 504 premise. They have to be off the street.

505

506 MICHAEL GALLAGHER: Right.

507

508 YVES STEGER: Mm-hmm.

509

- 510 RICHARD CANUEL: As the business was used previously, everyone parked perpendicular as
- 511 part of the business. They can provide adequate parking. If you can see on your diagram
- 512 there, just beyond the right of way line...

513

514 MICHAEL GALLAGHER: Right.

515

- 516 RICHARD CANUEL: ...if they were to park parallel, they could essentially get two (2) parking
- 517 spaces in the front and two (2) parking spaces along the side and just comply, so...

518519

JENNIFER MORIN: Mm-hmm.

520

521 RICHARD CANUEL: ...I think they probably just make it.

522523

- LARRY O'SULLIVAN: And do you believe that that ought to be a restriction that we place on
- 524 it? That parking in the front would be parallel to the building, or...?

525 526

RICHARD CANUEL: Well, that would be more of an enforcement issue at some point after.

527

528 LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Okay.

529

530 RICHARD CANUEL: I don't think that's necessary for the variance.

531

532 LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Fine. Fine.

533

534 YVES STEGER: Okay. So what do you think about special conditions? Because citing the fact

that it's the same as the others doesn't make it special. It makes it special for that specific region but not special in general. So...but essentially, they also mention that there are already multi-family in the same neighborhood as well in the different conditions, so... LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Mm-hmm. YVES STEGER: ...that may be another. Achieved by another method. JIM SMITH: There's no way they could add to the lot, so... YVES STEGER: No. It's way too narrow. JIM SMITH: Yeah. YVES STEGER: Okay. Justice? Probably okay. Spirit of the ordinance. The main problem will be with...well, it's usually parking and sewerage. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Mm-hmm. YVES STEGER: And that will be part of the...some other body of the Town to review the capabilities. JIM SMITH: You know, and, on the restrictions, I think we should restrict it to a total of three (3) bedrooms for the building. YVES STEGER: Sounds like a good recommendation. LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Are you writing one up, Jim? JIM SMITH: What? LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Are you writing it up? JIM SMITH: No. [laughter] JIM SMITH: You're the writer. You're the scribe. YVES STEGER: Okay. So I don't think we have any disagreements on the five (5) points of law.

YVES STEGER: Any further discussion?

LARRY O'SULLIVAN: I don't think so, either.

580 581	MICHAEL GALLAGHER: No.	
582 583	LARRY O'SULLIVAN: You all set, Mike?	
584 585	MICHAEL GALLAGHER: Yeah, I'm all set.	
586 587	YVES STEGER: Somebody is ready to make a motion?	
588 589 590	LARRY O'SULLIVAN: I make a motion to approve case number 11/18/2009-3 as presented for the area variance with the restriction to three (3) bedrooms maximum for the building.	
591 592	YVES STEGER: Somebody would like to second this?	
593 594	JIM SMITH: Second.	
595 596 597	YVES STEGER: Okay. So we have a motion to grant by Larry, seconded by Jim. Any further discussion? No? All in favor, say 'aye.'	
598 599	JIM SMITH: Aye.	
600 601	MICHAEL GALLAGHER: Aye.	
602 603	YVES STEGER: Aye.	
604 605	LARRY O'SULLIVAN: Aye.	
606 607	YVES STEGER: All against, say 'nay.'	
608 609	[no response in opposition]	
610 611 612	RESULT: THE MOTION TO GRANT CASE NO. 11/18/2009-3 WITH RESTRICTIONS WAS APPROVED, 4-0-0.	
613 614 615 616	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,	
617 618 619	LARRY O'SULLIVAN, CLERK TYPED AND TRANSCRIBED BY JAYE A TROTTIER, SECRETARY	
620 621 622	APPROVED DECEMBER 16, 2009 WITH A MOTION MADE BY LARRY O'SULLIVAN, SECONDED BY YVES STEGER AND APPROVED 4-0-2 (VICKI KEENAN AND NEIL DUNN ABSTAINED AS THEY HAD NOT ATTENDED THE MEETING).	